

NON-ISOMORPHIC C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH ISOMORPHIC UNITARY GROUPS

AHMED AL-RAWASHDEH

Communicated by E. Katsoulis

ABSTRACT. Dye, [Ann. of Math. (2) 61 (1955), 73–89] proved that the discrete unitary group in a factor determines the algebraic type of the factor. Afterwards, for a large class of simple unital C^* -algebras, Al-Rawashdeh, Booth and Giordano [J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 4711–4730] proved that the algebras are $*$ -isomorphic if and only if their unitary groups are isomorphic as abstract groups. In this paper, we give a counterexample in the non-simple case. Indeed, we give two C^* -algebras with isomorphic unitary groups but the algebras themselves are not $*$ -isomorphic.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], H. Dye proved that two von Neumann factors not of type I_{2n} are isomorphic (via a linear or a conjugate linear $*$ -isomorphism) if and only if their unitary groups are isomorphic as abstract groups. Indeed, he proved the following main theorem:

Theorem 1.1 ([4], Theorem 2). *Let M and N be factors not of type I_{2n} , and let φ be a group isomorphism between their unitary groups $\mathcal{U}(M)$ and $\mathcal{U}(N)$. Then there exists a linear (or conjugate linear) $*$ -isomorphism ψ of M onto N which implements φ in the following sense: for some (possible discontinuous) character λ of $\mathcal{U}(M)$ and all $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, $\varphi(u) = \lambda(u)\psi(u)$.*

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Date: Received: Sep. 20, 2016; Accepted: Dec. 4, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L35.

Key words and phrases. C^* -algebra, unitary group, $*$ -isomorphism.

In [[3], Theorem 1], M. Broise shows that the unitary group of a factor not of type I_n has no non-trivial characters. Therefore Dye's result can be rewritten as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *If N and M are two von Neumann factors not of type I_n ($n < \infty$), then any isomorphism between their unitary groups is implemented by a linear or a conjugate linear $*$ -isomorphism between the factors.*

Then extending the above result to some cases of simple, unital C^* -algebras, the author in [1] proved that if φ is a continuous automorphism of the unitary group of a UHF -algebra, then φ is implemented by linear or conjugate linear $*$ -isomorphism.

In [2], Al-Rawashdeh, Booth and Giordano generalized Dye's approach for a large class of simple, unital C^* -algebras. An isomorphism of the unitary groups, induces an isomorphism of their K -theory. In particular, if A and B are both simple unital AF-algebras, both irrational rotation algebras, or both Cuntz algebras and their unitary groups are isomorphic (as abstract groups), then A and B are isomorphic as C^* -algebras. In general, they proved the following main theorems:

Theorem 1.3 ([2], Theorem 4.10). *Let A and B be two simple, unital AH-algebras of slow dimension growth and of real rank zero. Then A and B are isomorphic if and only if their unitary groups are topologically isomorphic.*

Theorem 1.4 ([2], Corollary 5.7). *Let A and B be two unital Kirchberg algebras belonging to the UCT-class \mathcal{N} . Then A and B are isomorphic if and only if their unitary groups are isomorphic (as abstract groups).*

In this paper, we give an example of two C^* -algebras whose unitary groups are isomorphic, however the algebras themselves are not $*$ -isomorphic. The counterexample is given in the non-simple C^* -algebra $C(X)$, where X is a compact set. Recall that the unitary group of $C(X)$ is the group of all continuous functions from X to the unit circle \mathbb{T} , which is denoted by $C(X, \mathbb{T})$.

2. THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

Let us recall Milutin's theorem which is stated as follows:

Theorem 2.1 (Milutin). [7], p.494] *If X and Y are two compact, metrizable spaces which are non-countable, then $C(X, \mathbb{R}) \simeq C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ as Banach spaces.*

Let us recall the following results of V. Pestov in [6]. Let ζ denote the group homomorphism from $C(X, \mathbb{T})$ to the cohomotopy group $\pi^1(X)$ assigning to every mapping its homotopy class. Denote by $C^0(X, \mathbb{T})$ the kernel of ζ . Let X be a topological space and θ be the map of the linear space $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ to the group $C(X, \mathbb{T})$, given by $\theta(f) = \exp(2\pi if)$. The image of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ under θ is contained in $C^0(X, \mathbb{T})$ and θ is an additive group homomorphism.

If $x_0 \in X$, then let

$$\begin{aligned} C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R}) &= \{f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}); f(x_0) = 0\}, \\ C(X, x_0, \mathbb{T}) &= \{f \in C(X, \mathbb{T}); f(x_0) = 1\}, \\ C^0(X, x_0, \mathbb{T}) &= \{f \in C^0(X, \mathbb{T}); f(x_0) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, θ maps $C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R})$ to $C^0(X, x_0, \mathbb{T})$. Denote by θ_0 the restriction of θ to $C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 2.2 ([6], Pro.13). *Let X be a path-connected space and let $x_0 \in X$. Then the map $\theta_0 : C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^0(X, x_0, \mathbb{T})$ is an algebraic isomorphism.*

For every element $x_0 \in X$, the groups $C^0(X, \mathbb{T})$ and $C^0(X, x_0, \mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathbb{T}$ are isomorphic under the mapping $f \mapsto (f \cdot f(x_0)^{-1}, f(x_0))$. Similarly, the groups $C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ under the mapping $f \mapsto (f - f(x_0), f(x_0))$, (see [[6], Lemma 7]).

Consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow C^0(X, \mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\iota} C(X, \mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\zeta} \pi^1(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

If X is compact, then $C(X, \mathbb{T})$ splits, i.e. $C(X, \mathbb{T}) = C^0(X, \mathbb{T}) \oplus \pi^1(X)$. Now let us prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *Let X and Y be two compact spaces. If $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ and $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ are isomorphic as Banach spaces, then there is an isomorphism between $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ and $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ which sends 1 (as a constant function) to itself and hence sends all constant functions to constants.*

Proof. Let ψ denote the isomorphism from $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ onto $C(X, \mathbb{R})$. If $x_0 \in X$, and $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$, then we define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k : C(X, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R}) \\ g &\mapsto g + kg(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that φ_k is a linear map and $\varphi_k(1) = 1 + k$.

The map φ_k is surjective: If $h \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, then $h - \frac{k}{k+1}h(x_0) \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\varphi_k\left(h - \frac{k}{k+1}h(x_0)\right) = h + kh(x_0) - \frac{k}{k+1}h(x_0)\varphi_k(1) = h.$$

Now to show that φ_k is injective, let $g \in \ker(\varphi_k)$. Then for every $x \in X$, $g(x) + kg(x_0) = 0$ and in particular, $(k+1)g(x_0) = 0$, therefore $g = 0$, hence φ_k is a bijective.

Let $\psi(1) = f$. As f is a non-zero function which belongs to $C(X, \mathbb{R})$, there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $|f(x_0)| = \|f\|_\infty$. Let $k = 2\text{sign}(f(x_0))$. Then for all $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(f)(x) &= f(x) + kf(x_0) \\ &= f(x) + 2\text{sign}(f(x_0)) \cdot f(x_0) \\ &= f(x) + 2|f(x_0)| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The map $\psi_1 = \varphi_k \circ \psi$ is an isomorphism from $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ onto $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ with $\psi_1(1) > 0$. Then define $\Phi : C(Y, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$ by $g \mapsto \frac{1}{\psi_1(1)}\psi_1(g)$ and hence the lemma is checked. \square

Finally, let us introduce the following main counterexample:

Example 2.4. Consider $X = [0, 1]$ and $Y = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ as subspaces of the usual topology of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^2 , respectively. As X and Y are not homeomorphic topological spaces, the C^* -algebras $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are not $*$ -isomorphic.

Claim: $C(X, \mathbb{T}) \simeq C(Y, \mathbb{T})$ as abstract groups.

Proof. As X and Y are both contractible subsets of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^2 , their cohomology groups $H^q(X) = H^q(Y) = 0$, for all $q > 0$. the cohomotopy groups $\pi^1(X)$ and $\pi^1(Y)$ are trivial. As X and Y are both compact metrizable non-countable spaces, there exists a Banach space-isomorphism Φ from $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ to $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$, by Milutin's theorem. We may assume that Φ maps constant functions onto themselves. Now define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow C(Y, y_0, \mathbb{R}) \\ f &\mapsto \Phi(f) - \Phi(f)(y_0). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that ψ is a linear. If $g \in C(Y, y_0, \mathbb{R})$, then $h = \Phi^{-1}(g) - \Phi^{-1}(g)(x_0) \in C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R})$ and $\psi(h) = g$, hence ψ is a surjective. If $\psi(f) = 0$, then for all $y \in Y$, $\Phi(f)(y) = \Phi(f)(y_0)$, therefore $\Phi(f)$ is a constant function of Y and then $f = 0$. Hence ψ is an isomorphism. By Proposition (2.2), we have that $C^0(X, \mathbb{T}) \simeq C^0(Y, \mathbb{T})$, hence $C(X, \mathbb{T}) \simeq C(Y, \mathbb{T})$ and the example is completed. \square

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Thierry Giordano from the University of Ottawa-Canada, for his guidance and help. Also, the author would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. A. Al-Rawashdeh, *On The Extension of Unitary Group Isomorphisms of Unital UHF-Algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **26** (2015), no. 8, 1550061, 20 pp.
2. A. Al-Rawashdeh, A. Booth, and T. Giordano, *Unitary Groups As a Complete Invariant*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 4711–4730.
3. M. Broise, *Commutateurs Dans le Groupe Unitaire d'un Facteur*, (French) J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **46** 1967 299–312.
4. H. Dye, *On the Geometry of Projections in Certain Operator Algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **61** (1955), 73–89.
5. S.-T. Hu, *Homotopy Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. VIII Academic Press, New York-London 1959
6. V. Pestov, *Free Abelian Topological Groups and the Pontryagin-Van Kampen Duality*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **52** (1995), 297–311.
7. M. Valdivia, *Topics in Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, **67**, 85. North-Holland Publication Co., Amsterdam-New York. 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, AHMED AL-RAWASHDEH, P.O.BOX 15551, AL-AIN, ABU DHABI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES.

E-mail address: aalrawashdeh@uaeu.ac.ae