

## NON-ISOMORPHIC $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS WITH ISOMORPHIC UNITARY GROUPS

AHMED AL-RAWASHDEH

Communicated by E. Katsoulis

ABSTRACT. Dye, [Ann. of Math. (2) 61 (1955), 73–89] proved that the discrete unitary group in a factor determines the algebraic type of the factor. Afterwards, for a large class of simple unital  $C^*$ -algebras, Al-Rawashdeh, Booth and Giordano [J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 4711–4730] proved that the algebras are  $*$ -isomorphic if and only if their unitary groups are isomorphic as abstract groups. In this paper, we give a counterexample in the non-simple case. Indeed, we give two  $C^*$ -algebras with isomorphic unitary groups but the algebras themselves are not  $*$ -isomorphic.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], H. Dye proved that two von Neumann factors not of type  $I_{2n}$  are isomorphic (via a linear or a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism) if and only if their unitary groups are isomorphic as abstract groups. Indeed, he proved the following main theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** ([4], Theorem 2). *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be factors not of type  $I_{2n}$ , and let  $\varphi$  be a group isomorphism between their unitary groups  $\mathcal{U}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{U}(N)$ . Then there exists a linear (or conjugate linear)  $*$ -isomorphism  $\psi$  of  $M$  onto  $N$  which implements  $\varphi$  in the following sense: for some (possible discontinuous) character  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{U}(M)$  and all  $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ ,  $\varphi(u) = \lambda(u)\psi(u)$ .*

---

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Date: Received: Sep. 20, 2016; Accepted: Dec. 4, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L35.

*Key words and phrases*.  $C^*$ -algebra, unitary group,  $*$ -isomorphism.

In [[3], Theorem 1], M. Broise shows that the unitary group of a factor not of type  $I_n$  has no non-trivial characters. Therefore Dye's result can be rewritten as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $N$  and  $M$  are two von Neumann factors not of type  $I_n$  ( $n < \infty$ ), then any isomorphism between their unitary groups is implemented by a linear or a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism between the factors.*

Then extending the above result to some cases of simple, unital  $C^*$ -algebras, the author in [1] proved that if  $\varphi$  is a continuous automorphism of the unitary group of a  $UHF$ -algebra, then  $\varphi$  is implemented by linear or conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.

In [2], Al-Rawashdeh, Booth and Giordano generalized Dye's approach for a large class of simple, unital  $C^*$ -algebras. An isomorphism of the unitary groups, induces an isomorphism of their  $K$ -theory. In particular, if  $A$  and  $B$  are both simple unital AF-algebras, both irrational rotation algebras, or both Cuntz algebras and their unitary groups are isomorphic (as abstract groups), then  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic as  $C^*$ -algebras. In general, they proved the following main theorems:

**Theorem 1.3** ([2], Theorem 4.10). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two simple, unital AH-algebras of slow dimension growth and of real rank zero. Then  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic if and only if their unitary groups are topologically isomorphic.*

**Theorem 1.4** ([2], Corollary 5.7). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unital Kirchberg algebras belonging to the UCT-class  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic if and only if their unitary groups are isomorphic (as abstract groups).*

In this paper, we give an example of two  $C^*$ -algebras whose unitary groups are isomorphic, however the algebras themselves are not  $*$ -isomorphic. The counterexample is given in the non-simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X)$ , where  $X$  is a compact set. Recall that the unitary group of  $C(X)$  is the group of all continuous functions from  $X$  to the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , which is denoted by  $C(X, \mathbb{T})$ .

## 2. THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

Let us recall Milutin's theorem which is stated as follows:

**Theorem 2.1** (Milutin). [7], p.494] *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are two compact, metrizable spaces which are non-countable, then  $C(X, \mathbb{R}) \simeq C(Y, \mathbb{R})$  as Banach spaces.*

Let us recall the following results of V. Pestov in [6]. Let  $\zeta$  denote the group homomorphism from  $C(X, \mathbb{T})$  to the cohomotopy group  $\pi^1(X)$  assigning to every mapping its homotopy class. Denote by  $C^0(X, \mathbb{T})$  the kernel of  $\zeta$ . Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\theta$  be the map of the linear space  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  to the group  $C(X, \mathbb{T})$ , given by  $\theta(f) = \exp(2\pi if)$ . The image of  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  under  $\theta$  is contained in  $C^0(X, \mathbb{T})$  and  $\theta$  is an additive group homomorphism.

If  $x_0 \in X$ , then let

$$\begin{aligned} C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R}) &= \{f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}); f(x_0) = 0\}, \\ C(X, x_0, \mathbb{T}) &= \{f \in C(X, \mathbb{T}); f(x_0) = 1\}, \\ C^0(X, x_0, \mathbb{T}) &= \{f \in C^0(X, \mathbb{T}); f(x_0) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $\theta$  maps  $C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R})$  to  $C^0(X, x_0, \mathbb{T})$ . Denote by  $\theta_0$  the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proposition 2.2** ([6], Pro.13). *Let  $X$  be a path-connected space and let  $x_0 \in X$ . Then the map  $\theta_0 : C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^0(X, x_0, \mathbb{T})$  is an algebraic isomorphism.*

For every element  $x_0 \in X$ , the groups  $C^0(X, \mathbb{T})$  and  $C^0(X, x_0, \mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathbb{T}$  are isomorphic under the mapping  $f \mapsto (f \cdot f(x_0)^{-1}, f(x_0))$ . Similarly, the groups  $C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  and  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  under the mapping  $f \mapsto (f - f(x_0), f(x_0))$ , (see [[6], Lemma 7]).

Consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow C^0(X, \mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\iota} C(X, \mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\zeta} \pi^1(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $X$  is compact, then  $C(X, \mathbb{T})$  splits, i.e.  $C(X, \mathbb{T}) = C^0(X, \mathbb{T}) \oplus \pi^1(X)$ . Now let us prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two compact spaces. If  $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$  and  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  are isomorphic as Banach spaces, then there is an isomorphism between  $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$  and  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  which sends 1 (as a constant function) to itself and hence sends all constant functions to constants.*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  denote the isomorphism from  $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$  onto  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ . If  $x_0 \in X$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$ , then we define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k : C(X, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R}) \\ g &\mapsto g + kg(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\varphi_k$  is a linear map and  $\varphi_k(1) = 1 + k$ .

The map  $\varphi_k$  is surjective: If  $h \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $h - \frac{k}{k+1}h(x_0) \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  and

$$\varphi_k\left(h - \frac{k}{k+1}h(x_0)\right) = h + kh(x_0) - \frac{k}{k+1}h(x_0)\varphi_k(1) = h.$$

Now to show that  $\varphi_k$  is injective, let  $g \in \ker(\varphi_k)$ . Then for every  $x \in X$ ,  $g(x) + kg(x_0) = 0$  and in particular,  $(k+1)g(x_0) = 0$ , therefore  $g = 0$ , hence  $\varphi_k$  is a bijective.

Let  $\psi(1) = f$ . As  $f$  is a non-zero function which belongs to  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ , there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $|f(x_0)| = \|f\|_\infty$ . Let  $k = 2\text{sign}(f(x_0))$ . Then for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(f)(x) &= f(x) + kf(x_0) \\ &= f(x) + 2\text{sign}(f(x_0)) \cdot f(x_0) \\ &= f(x) + 2|f(x_0)| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\psi_1 = \varphi_k \circ \psi$  is an isomorphism from  $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$  onto  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  with  $\psi_1(1) > 0$ . Then define  $\Phi : C(Y, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$  by  $g \mapsto \frac{1}{\psi_1(1)}\psi_1(g)$  and hence the lemma is checked.  $\square$

Finally, let us introduce the following main counterexample:

**Example 2.4.** Consider  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $Y = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  as subspaces of the usual topology of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , respectively. As  $X$  and  $Y$  are not homeomorphic topological spaces, the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C(X)$  and  $C(Y)$  are not  $*$ -isomorphic.

**Claim:**  $C(X, \mathbb{T}) \simeq C(Y, \mathbb{T})$  as abstract groups.

*Proof.* As  $X$  and  $Y$  are both contractible subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , their cohomology groups  $H^q(X) = H^q(Y) = 0$ , for all  $q > 0$ . the cohomotopy groups  $\pi^1(X)$  and  $\pi^1(Y)$  are trivial. As  $X$  and  $Y$  are both compact metrizable non-countable spaces, there exists a Banach space-isomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  to  $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ , by Milutin's theorem. We may assume that  $\Phi$  maps constant functions onto themselves. Now define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow C(Y, y_0, \mathbb{R}) \\ f &\mapsto \Phi(f) - \Phi(f)(y_0). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\psi$  is a linear. If  $g \in C(Y, y_0, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $h = \Phi^{-1}(g) - \Phi^{-1}(g)(x_0) \in C(X, x_0, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi(h) = g$ , hence  $\psi$  is a surjective. If  $\psi(f) = 0$ , then for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $\Phi(f)(y) = \Phi(f)(y_0)$ , therefore  $\Phi(f)$  is a constant function of  $Y$  and then  $f = 0$ . Hence  $\psi$  is an isomorphism. By Proposition (2.2), we have that  $C^0(X, \mathbb{T}) \simeq C^0(Y, \mathbb{T})$ , hence  $C(X, \mathbb{T}) \simeq C(Y, \mathbb{T})$  and the example is completed.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Professor Thierry Giordano from the University of Ottawa-Canada, for his guidance and help. Also, the author would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Al-Rawashdeh, *On The Extension of Unitary Group Isomorphisms of Unital UHF-Algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **26** (2015), no. 8, 1550061, 20 pp.
2. A. Al-Rawashdeh, A. Booth, and T. Giordano, *Unitary Groups As a Complete Invariant*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 4711–4730.
3. M. Broise, *Commutateurs Dans le Groupe Unitaire d'un Facteur*, (French) J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **46** 1967 299–312.
4. H. Dye, *On the Geometry of Projections in Certain Operator Algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **61** (1955), 73–89.
5. S.-T. Hu, *Homotopy Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. VIII Academic Press, New York-London 1959
6. V. Pestov, *Free Abelian Topological Groups and the Pontryagin-Van Kampen Duality*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **52** (1995), 297–311.
7. M. Valdivia, *Topics in Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, **67**, 85. North-Holland Publication Co., Amsterdam-New York. 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, AHMED AL-RAWASHDEH, P.O.BOX 15551, AL-AIN, ABU DHABI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES.

*E-mail address:* [aalrawashdeh@uaeu.ac.ae](mailto:aalrawashdeh@uaeu.ac.ae)