

## STABILITY OF THE COSINE-SINE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION WITH INVOLUTION

JEONGWOOK CHANG,<sup>1</sup> CHANG-KWON CHOI,<sup>2\*</sup> JONGJIN KIM,<sup>3</sup> and PRASANNA K. SAHOO<sup>4</sup>

Communicated by C. Lizama

ABSTRACT. Let  $S$  and  $G$  be a commutative semigroup and a commutative group respectively,  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+$  the sets of complex numbers and nonnegative real numbers respectively,  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  or  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  an involution and  $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be fixed. In this paper, we first investigate general solutions of the equation

$$g(x + \sigma y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ , where  $f, g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are unknown functions to be determined. Secondly, we consider the Hyers-Ulam stability of the equation, i.e., we study the functional inequality

$$|g(x + \sigma y) - g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \psi(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , where  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The cosine function admits the following decomposition

$$\cos(x - y) = \cos x \cos y + \sin x \sin y$$

and  $g(x) = \cos x$ ,  $f(x) = \sin x$  satisfies the functional equation

$$g(x - y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y). \quad (1.2)$$

---

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Date: Received: Jun. 27, 2017; Accepted: Sep. 11, 2017.

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B82; Secondary 26D05.

*Key words and phrases.* additive function, cosine-sine functional equation, exponential function, involution, stability.

The functional equation (1.1) was treated by Gerretsen [11] and Vaughan [22] among others. The general solutions of (1.1) are described in [2, pp. 216–217] when the unknown functions  $g, f$  are functions from a group  $G$  into a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . For some related equations we refer the reader to [1, p. 177] and [2, pp. 209–217].

The Hyers-Ulam stability problems of functional equations go back to 1940 when S. M. Ulam proposed a question concerning the approximate homomorphisms from a group to a metric group (see [21]). A partial answer was given by D.H. Hyers [12] under the assumption that the target space of the involved mappings is a Banach space. After the result of Hyers, T. Aoki [3] and D.G. Bourgin [5] dealt with this problem, however, there were no other results on this problem until 1978 when Th.M. Rassias [17] dealt again with the inequality of Aoki [3]. Following Rassias' result a great number of papers on the subject have been published concerning numerous functional equations in various directions [4, 6, 7, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20]. In particular, Székelyhidi [20] investigated the Hyers-Ulam stability of the trigonometric functional equations

$$f(x + y) = f(x)g(y) + g(x)f(y) \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$g(x + y) = g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y) \quad (1.4)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , where  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  without the commutativity of  $G$ . Using the elegant method of Székelyhidi, Chung and Chang [6, 7] obtained the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations

$$f(x - y) = f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$g(x - y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y) \quad (1.6)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

Recently, several authors [9, 16, 19] have studied functional equations with involutions which generalize previous results on some classical functional equations such as the d'Alembert's functional equation [10] and the Wilson's functional equation [23]. In particular, generalizing (1.4), Chung, Choi and Kim [8] determined the general solutions and the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation

$$f(x + \sigma y) = f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) \quad (1.7)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ , where  $f, g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sigma$  is an involution on the semigroup  $S$ . In this paper, generalizing the functional equation (1.5), we first determine all general solutions of the functional equation

$$g(x + \sigma y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y) \quad (1.8)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Secondly, generalizing the results in [6, 7] we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability for (1.7), i.e., we study the behavior of functions  $g$  and  $f$  satisfying functional inequality

$$|g(x + \sigma y) - g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \psi(y) \quad (1.9)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , where  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  (the set of nonnegative real numbers).

2. GENERAL SOLUTIONS OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (1.7)

In this section we present the general solutions  $(g, f)$  of the functional equations (1.7) on semigroups. Throughout this section we denote by  $S$  a commutative semigroup with an identity element. A function  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  is said to be an *involution* if  $\sigma(x + y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$  and  $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in S$ . For simplicity we write  $\sigma x$  instead of  $\sigma(x)$ . A function  $m : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called an *exponential function* provided that  $m(x + y) = m(x)m(y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$  and  $a : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called an *additive function* provided that  $a(x + y) = a(x) + a(y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

As a direct consequence of a theorem of Sinopoulos [19] we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the functional equation*

$$g(x + y) + g(x + \sigma y) = 2g(x)g(y) \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Then there exists an exponential function  $m : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$g(x) = \frac{m(x) + m(\sigma x)}{2} \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x \in S$ .

In the following, we exclude the trivial cases when  $f(x) = g(x) \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f, g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the functional equation*

$$g(x + \sigma y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y) \tag{2.3}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Then either  $(g, f)$  has the form

$$g(x) = \frac{m(x) + m(\sigma x)}{2}, \quad f(x) = c_1 \frac{m(x) - m(\sigma x)}{2} \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $x \in S$ , where  $m : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an arbitrary exponential function and  $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $c_1^2 = -1$ , or

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{cases} g(x) = c_2 E(x) \\ f(x) = c_3 E(x) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} g(x) = \mu(x)(1 - a(x)) \\ f(x) = c_1 \mu(x)a(x) \end{cases} \\ & \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} g(x) = (1 - c_2)\mu(x) + c_2\nu(x) \\ f(x) = c_3(\mu(x) - \nu(x)) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ , where  $E, \mu : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are exponential functions satisfying  $E \circ \sigma = E$ ,  $\mu \circ \sigma = \mu$  and  $a, \nu$  are an additive function and an exponential function on  $S^* := \{x \in S : \mu(x) \neq 0\}$  satisfying  $a \circ \sigma = a$  on  $S^*$  with arbitrary values on  $S \setminus S^*$ ,  $\nu \circ \sigma = \nu$  on  $S^*$  and  $\nu = 0$  on  $S \setminus S^*$ , and  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  are arbitrary constants satisfying  $c_1^2 = -1$ ,  $c_2^2 + c_3^2 = c_2$  with  $c_2 \neq 0, 1$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $(x, y)$  by  $(y, x)$  in (2.3) we have

$$g(y + \sigma x) - g(y)g(x) - f(x)f(y) = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Subtracting (2.6) from (2.3) we have

$$g(y + \sigma x) = g(x + \sigma y) \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Putting  $y = 0$  in (2.7) we have

$$g(\sigma x) = g(x) \quad (2.9)$$

for all  $x \in S$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\sigma x$  and  $y$  by  $\sigma y$  in (2.3) we have

$$g(\sigma x + y) - g(\sigma x)g(\sigma y) - f(\sigma x)f(\sigma y) = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . From (2.8) we have

$$g(\sigma x + y) - g(x)g(y) - f(\sigma x)f(\sigma y) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Subtracting (2.10) from (2.3) and by (2.7) we have

$$f(\sigma x)f(\sigma y) = f(x)f(y) \quad (2.12)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Letting  $y = x$  in (2.11), for each  $x \in S$  we have  $f(\sigma x) = f(x)$  or  $f(\sigma x) = -f(x)$ . Assume that there exists a  $y_0 \in S$  such that  $f(\sigma y_0) \neq f(y_0)$ . Then we have  $f(\sigma y_0) = -f(y_0)$ . Putting  $y = y_0$  in (2.11) we obtain  $f(x) = -f(\sigma x)$  for all  $x \in S$ . Thus we have

$$f(\sigma x) = -f(x) \quad (2.13)$$

for all  $x \in S$ , or

$$f(\sigma x) = f(x) \quad (2.14)$$

for all  $x \in S$ .

**Case (i).** Suppose that (2.12) holds. Interchanging  $y$  with  $\sigma y$  in (2.3) and using the fact that  $g(\sigma y) = g(y)$  and  $f(\sigma y) = -f(y)$  we have

$$g(x + y) = g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y) \quad (2.15)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Adding (2.3) and (2.14) we obtain

$$g(x + y) + g(x + \sigma y) = 2g(x)g(y) \quad (2.16)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . The general solution of the functional equation can be obtained from Lemma 2.1 as

$$g(x) = \frac{m(x) + m(\sigma x)}{2} \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $x \in S$ , where  $m : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an exponential function. Using (2.16) in (2.14) and simplifying we obtain

$$f(x)f(y) = - \left( \frac{m(x) - m(\sigma x)}{2} \right) \left( \frac{m(y) - m(\sigma y)}{2} \right) \quad (2.18)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Hence

$$f(x) = c_1 \frac{m(x) - m(\sigma x)}{2} \quad (2.19)$$

for all  $x \in S$ , where  $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $c_1^2 = -1$ . Hence for this case we have the asserted solution (2.4).

**Case (ii).** Suppose (2.13) holds. Letting  $\sigma y$  for  $y$  in (2.3) and using the fact  $g(\sigma y) = g(y)$ ,  $f(\sigma y) = f(y)$  we get

$$g(x + y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y) \quad (2.20)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Computing  $g(x + y + z)$  first as  $g(x + (y + z))$  and then as  $g((x + y) + z)$ , using (2.19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(x + y + z) &= g(x)g(y + z) + f(x)f(y + z) \\ &= g(x)[g(y)g(z) + f(y)f(z)] + f(x)f(y + z) \\ &= g(x)g(y)g(z) + g(x)f(y)f(z) + f(x)f(y + z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(x + y + z) &= g(x + y)g(z) + f(x + y)f(z) \\ &= [g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y)]g(z) + f(x + y)f(z) \\ &= g(x)g(y)g(z) + f(x)f(y)g(z) + f(x + y)f(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . Comparing the last two expressions we have

$$f(x + y)f(z) - g(x)f(y)f(z) = f(x)f(y + z) - f(x)f(y)g(z) \quad (2.21)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . Subtracting  $f(x)g(y)f(z)$  from both sides of (2.20), we get

$$[f(x + y) - g(x)f(y) - g(y)f(x)]f(z) = [f(y + z) - g(y)f(z) - g(z)f(y)]f(x) \quad (2.22)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . We fix  $z = z_0$  with  $f(z_0) \neq 0$  and obtain

$$f(x + y) - g(x)f(y) - g(y)f(x) = f(x)k(y) \quad (2.23)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ , where  $k(y) := f(z_0)^{-1}[f(y + z_0) - g(y)f(z_0) - g(z_0)f(y)]$ . Replacing  $(x, y)$  by  $(y, x)$  in (2.20) we see that

$$f(x)k(y) = f(y)k(x) \quad (2.24)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . Put  $y = z_0$  we have

$$k(x) = \beta f(x) \quad (2.25)$$

for all  $x \in S$ , where  $\beta = \frac{k(z_0)}{f(z_0)}$ . Hence (2.24) in (2.22) yields

$$f(x + y) = g(x)f(y) + g(y)f(x) + \beta f(x)f(y) \quad (2.26)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Multiplying (2.25) by  $\lambda$  and adding the resulting expression to (2.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x + y) + \lambda f(x + y) \\ = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y) + \lambda g(x)f(y) + \lambda f(x)g(y) + \beta \lambda f(x)f(y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . The functional equation can be written as

$$g(x + y) + \lambda f(x + y) = [g(x) + \lambda f(x)][g(y) + \lambda f(y)] \quad (2.28)$$

if and only if  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$\lambda^2 - \beta \lambda - 1 = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

By fixing  $\lambda$  to be such a constant, we get

$$g(x) + \lambda f(x) = \mu(x) \quad (2.30)$$

for all  $x \in S$ , where  $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an exponential map. From (2.28) it is easy to see that  $\lambda \neq 0$ . From (2.29) we have

$$g(x) = \mu(x) - \lambda f(x) \quad (2.31)$$

for all  $x \in S$  and letting this into (2.19) and simplifying we obtain

$$\lambda f(x+y) = \lambda f(x)\mu(y) + \lambda f(y)\mu(x) - (\lambda^2 + 1)f(x)f(y) \quad (2.32)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . There are two possibilities: (1)  $\mu = 0$  and (2)  $\mu \neq 0$ . If  $\mu = 0$ , then from (2.31), we get

$$f(x+y) = -\lambda^{-1}(\lambda^2 + 1)f(x)f(y) \quad (2.33)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . We define  $E : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$E(x) = -\lambda^{-1}(\lambda^2 + 1)f(x) \quad (2.34)$$

for all  $x \in S$ . Then by (2.33), the equation (2.34) reduces to

$$E(x+y) = E(x)E(y) \quad (2.35)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . From (2.13), (2.33) and (2.34),  $E : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an exponential function satisfying  $E(x) = E(\sigma x)$ .

Hence from (2.30) and (2.33) we get

$$g(x) = c_2 E(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = c_3 E(x) \quad (2.36)$$

for all  $x \in S$ , where  $c_2 := \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^2+1}$  and  $c_3 := -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2+1}$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Note that the constants  $(c_2, c_3)$  represents all solutions of the equation  $c_2^2 + c_3^2 = c_2$  such that  $c_2 \neq 0, 1$ . Thus we have the first case of the asserted solutions (2.5).

The other possibility is  $\mu \neq 0$ . Let  $S^* = \{x \in S : \mu(x) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $S \setminus S^*$  is an ideal in  $S$  and  $S^*$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ . Dividing (2.31) by

$$\mu(x+y) = \mu(x)\mu(y) \quad (2.37)$$

side by side, we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda f(x+y)}{\mu(x+y)} = \frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)} + \frac{\lambda f(y)}{\mu(y)} - \frac{\lambda^2 + 1}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda f(y)}{\mu(y)} \right) \quad (2.38)$$

for all  $x, y \in S^*$ . When  $\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\lambda f(x+y)}{\mu(x+y)} = \frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)} + \frac{\lambda f(y)}{\mu(y)} \quad (2.39)$$

for all  $x, y \in S^*$ . Hence

$$\frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)} = a(x) \quad (2.40)$$

for all  $x \in S^*$ , where  $a : S^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an additive function. Therefore

$$f(x) = \lambda^{-1}\mu(x)a(x) \quad (2.41)$$

for all  $x \in S^*$  and by (2.30) and (2.40), we get

$$g(x) = \mu(x) - \mu(x)a(x) \quad (2.42)$$

for all  $x \in S^*$ . Letting  $c_1 = \lambda^{-1}$  from (2.40) and (2.41) we have the second case of the asserted solutions (2.5). It is easy to check that the constant  $c_1$  satisfies  $c_1^2 = -1$  because of  $\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$ .

When  $\lambda^2 + 1 \neq 0$ , (2.37) yields

$$\nu(x + y) = \nu(x)\nu(y) \tag{2.43}$$

for all  $x, y \in S^*$ , where  $\nu(x) = 1 - \frac{\lambda^2+1}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)} \right)$ . Hence  $\nu : S^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an exponential function. Therefore

$$f(x) = c_3\mu(x) - c_3\nu(x)\mu(x) \tag{2.44}$$

for all  $x \in S^*$  and by (2.30) and (2.43), we get

$$g(x) = (1 - c_2)\mu(x) + c_2\nu(x)\mu(x) \tag{2.45}$$

for all  $x \in S^*$ , where  $c_2 := \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^2+1}$  and  $c_3 := -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2+1}$ . Replacing  $\mu(x)\nu(x)$  by  $\nu(x)$  in (2.43) and (2.44) we get the third case of the asserted solutions (2.5). It follows from (2.30), (2.33), (2.39) and (2.43) that  $\mu(\sigma x) = \mu(x)$ ,  $E(\sigma x) = E(x)$ ,  $a(\sigma x) = a(x)$ ,  $\nu(\sigma x) = \nu(x)$  and the proof of the theorem is now complete.  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.* Let  $\sigma = I$  be the identity involution. Then as a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2 we obtain the solutions of hyperbolic cosine-sine functional equation

$$g(x + y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y) \tag{2.46}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Indeed, all solutions of (2.45) are given by (2.5) with exponential functions  $E, \mu : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\nu : S^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , an additive function  $a : S^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and constants  $c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $c_2^2 + c_3^2 = c_2$ ,  $c_2 \neq 0$ .

Let  $(H, +)$  be a commutative semigroup and  $f, g : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . As a consequence of Theorem 2.2, we determine all general solutions of the functional equation

$$g(x_1 + y_2, x_2 + y_1) = g(x_1, x_2)g(y_1, y_2) + f(x_1, x_2)f(y_1, y_2) \tag{2.47}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in H$ . We exclude the trivial cases when  $g$  is constant.

Letting  $\sigma(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in H$  and using the same argument as in [8, Theorem 5] we obtain the following.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $f, g : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the functional equation (2.46). Then either  $(g, f)$  has the form*

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \frac{m_1(x_1)m_2(x_2) + m_2(x_1)m_1(x_2)}{2},$$

$$f(x_1, x_2) = c_1 \frac{m_1(x_1)m_2(x_2) - m_2(x_1)m_1(x_2)}{2}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in H$ , where  $m_1, m_2 : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are arbitrary exponential functions and  $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $c_1^2 = -1$ , or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_1, x_2) = c_2 E(x_1 + x_2) \\ f(x_1, x_2) = c_3 E(x_1 + x_2) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} g(x_1, x_2) = \mu(x_1 + x_2)(1 - a(x_1 + x_2)) \\ f(x_1, x_2) = c_1 \mu(x_1 + x_2)a(x_1 + x_2) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{or } \begin{cases} g(x_1, x_2) = (1 - c_2)\mu(x_1 + x_2) + c_2\nu(x_1 + x_2) \\ f(x_1, x_2) = c_3(\mu(x_1 + x_2) - \nu(x_1 + x_2)) \end{cases}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in H$ , where  $E, \mu : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are exponential functions on  $H$ ,  $a$  is an additive function on  $H^* := \{x \in H : \mu(x) \neq 0\}$  with arbitrary values on  $H \setminus H^*$ ,  $\nu$  is an exponential function on  $H^*$  and  $\nu = 0$  on  $H \setminus H^*$ , and  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  are arbitrary constants satisfying  $c_1^2 = -1$ ,  $c_2^2 + c_3^2 = c_2$  with  $c_2 \neq 0, 1$ .

If  $S = G$  is a commutative group and  $\sigma(x) = -x$  for all  $x \in G$ , we have the following.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the functional equation*

$$g(x - y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y) \tag{2.50}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then either  $(g, f)$  has the form

$$g(x) = \frac{m(x) + m(-x)}{2}, \quad f(x) = c_1 \frac{m(x) - m(-x)}{2} \tag{2.51}$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an arbitrary exponential function and  $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $c_1^2 = -1$ , or

$$\begin{cases} g(x) = c_2 E(x) \\ f(x) = c_3 E(x) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} g(x) = (1 - c_2)\mu(x) + c_2\nu(x) \\ f(x) = c_3(\mu(x) - \nu(x)) \end{cases} \tag{2.52}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , where  $E, \mu, \nu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are exponential functions satisfying  $(E(x))^2 = (\mu(x))^2 = (\nu(x))^2 = 1$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  are arbitrary constants satisfying  $c_1^2 = -1$ ,  $c_2^2 + c_3^2 = c_2$  with  $c_2 \neq 0, 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $S = G$  is a group, then we have  $G^* = \{x \in G : \mu(x) \neq 0\} = G$ . Now, since the functions  $a, E, \mu, \nu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $a(-x) = a(x), E(-x) = E(x), \mu(-x) = \mu(x), \nu(-x) = \nu(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ , we have  $a(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $(E(x))^2 = (\mu(x))^2 = (\nu(x))^2 = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ , and the second case of (2.5) is reduced to the case  $m = m \circ \sigma$  of (2.4). This completes the proof.  $\square$

In particular if  $S = G$  is a 2-divisible commutative group and  $\sigma(x) = -x$  for all  $x \in G$ , then since  $E = \mu = \nu = 1$  we have the following.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the functional equation*

$$g(x - y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then either  $(g, f)$  has the form

$$g(x) = c_2, \quad f(x) = c_3$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $c_2^2 + c_3^2 = c_2$ , or

$$g(x) = \frac{m(x) + m(-x)}{2}, \quad f(x) = c_1 \frac{m(x) - m(-x)}{2}$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an arbitrary exponential function and  $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $c_1^2 = -1$ .



*Remark 2.7.* If  $G$  is not 2-divisible, we can find a nonconstant solution  $(g, f)$  of (2.47) of the form (2.49). Indeed, let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  be the set of integers. Define  $E : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $E(2k) = 1, E(2k - 1) = -1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $E$  is a nonconstant exponential function. Letting  $\mu = E, \nu = 1$  and  $c_2^2 + c_3^2 = c_2$  we obtain the following nonconstant solutions of the form (2.49)

$$\begin{cases} g(x) = c_2 E(x) \\ f(x) = c_3 E(x) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} g(x) = (1 - c_2) E(x) + c_2 \\ f(x) = c_3 E(x) - c_3 \end{cases}$$

### 3. STABILITY OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (1.7)

Throughout this section, let  $G$  be a commutative group,  $\psi : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be fixed and  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In this section we consider the stability of the functional equation (1.7), i.e., we deal with the functional inequality

$$|g(x + \sigma y) - g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \psi(y) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . For the proof of the stability of (3.1) we need the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality (3.1) for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then there exist  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  (not both zero) and  $M > 0$  such that*

$$|\mu_1 f(x) - \mu_2 g(x)| \leq M \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x \in G$ , or else

$$g(x + \sigma y) - g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y) = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mu_1 f(x) - \mu_2 g(x)$  is bounded only when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$ . Let

$$l(x, y) = g(x + y) - g(x)g(\sigma y) - f(x)f(\sigma y) \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Choose  $y_1$  satisfying  $f(\sigma y_1) \neq 0$ . Then from (3.4), we have

$$f(x) = \omega_1 g(x) + \omega_2 g(x + y_1) - \omega_2 l(x, y_1) \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $\omega_1 = -\frac{g(\sigma y_1)}{f(\sigma y_1)}$  and  $\omega_2 = \frac{1}{f(\sigma y_1)}$ . From (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x + y + z) &= g(x + y)g(\sigma z) + f(x + y)f(\sigma z) + l(x + y, z) \\ &= (g(x)g(\sigma y) + f(x)f(\sigma y) + l(x, y))g(\sigma z) \\ &\quad + (\omega_1 g(x + y) + \omega_2 g(x + y + y_1) - \omega_2 l(x + y, y_1))f(\sigma z) \\ &\quad + l(x + y, z) \\ &= (g(x)g(\sigma y) + f(x)f(\sigma y) + l(x, y))g(\sigma z) \\ &\quad + \omega_1 (g(x)g(\sigma y) + f(x)f(\sigma y) + l(x, y))f(\sigma z) \\ &\quad + \omega_2 (g(x)g(\sigma(y + y_1)) + f(x)f(\sigma(y + y_1))) \\ &\quad + l(x, y + y_1))f(\sigma z) - \omega_2 l(x + y, y_1)f(\sigma z) + l(x + y, z) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Also, from (3.4) we have

$$g(x + y + z) = g(x)g(\sigma(y + z)) + f(x)f(\sigma(y + z)) + l(x, y + z) \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Equating (3.6) and (3.7) and then isolating  $l(\cdot, \cdot)$  terms into the right hand sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (g(\sigma y)g(\sigma z) + \omega_1 g(\sigma y)f(\sigma z) + \omega_2 g(\sigma(y + y_1))f(\sigma z) - g(\sigma(y + z)))g(x) \\ & + (f(\sigma y)g(\sigma z) + \omega_1 f(\sigma y)f(\sigma z) + \omega_2 f(\sigma(y + y_1))f(\sigma z) - f(\sigma(y + z)))f(x) \\ & = -l(x, y)g(\sigma z) - \omega_1 l(x, y)f(\sigma z) - \omega_2 l(x, y + y_1)f(\sigma z) \\ & \quad + \omega_2 l(x + y, y_1)f(\sigma z) - l(x + y, z) + l(x, y + z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . So the left side of (3.8) is the of the form  $\mu_1(y, z) f(x) - \mu_2(y, z) g(x)$ . Next we show that the right hand side of (3.8) is bounded as a function of  $x$ . Taking the absolute value of right hand sides of (3.8) and using triangle inequality and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & | -l(x, y)g(\sigma z) - \omega_1 l(x, y)f(\sigma z) - \omega_2 l(x, y + y_1)f(\sigma z) \\ & \quad + \omega_2 l(x + y, y_1)f(\sigma z) - l(x + y, z) + l(x, y + z) | \\ & \leq |l(x, y)||g(\sigma z)| + |l(x, y)||\omega_1 f(\sigma z)| + |l(x, y + y_1)||\omega_2 f(\sigma z)| \\ & \quad + |l(x + y, y_1)||\omega_2 f(\sigma z)| + |l(x + y, z)| + |l(x, y + z)| \\ & \leq \psi(\sigma y)|g(\sigma z)| + \psi(\sigma y)|\omega_1 f(\sigma z)| + \psi(\sigma(y + y_1))|\omega_2 f(\sigma z)| \\ & \quad + \psi(\sigma y_1)|\omega_2 f(\sigma z)| + \psi(\sigma z) + \psi(\sigma(y + z)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . In view of (3.9), for fix  $y, z$ , the right hand side of (3.8) is bounded as a function of  $x$ . So by our assumption, the left hand side of (3.8) vanishes, so does its right hand side yielding

$$\begin{aligned} & l(x, y)g(\sigma z) + (\omega_1 l(x, y) + \omega_2 l(x, y + y_1) - \omega_2 l(x + y, y_1))f(\sigma z) \\ & = l(x, y + z) - l(x + y, z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . From (3.4) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & l(x, y + z) - l(x + y, z) \\ & = g(x + y + z) - g(x)g(\sigma(y + z)) - f(x)f(\sigma(y + z)) \\ & \quad - g(x + y + z) + g(x + y)g(\sigma z) + f(x + y)f(\sigma z) \\ & = g(\sigma(x + y + z)) - g(x)g(\sigma(y + z)) - f(x)f(\sigma(y + z)) \\ & \quad - g(\sigma(x + y + z)) + g(x + y)g(\sigma z) + f(x + y)f(\sigma z) \\ & = g(\sigma(y + z) + \sigma x) - g(\sigma(y + z))g(x) - f(\sigma(y + z))f(x) \\ & \quad - g(\sigma z + \sigma(x + y)) + g(\sigma z)g(x + y) + f(\sigma z)f(x + y) \\ & = l(\sigma(y + z), \sigma x) - l(\sigma z, \sigma(x + y)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Using (3.11) and the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |l(x, y + z) - l(x + y, z)| & = |l(\sigma(y + z), \sigma x) - l(\sigma z, \sigma(x + y))| \\ & \leq |l(\sigma(y + z), \sigma x)| + |l(\sigma z, \sigma(x + y))| \\ & \leq \psi(x) + \psi(x + y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Thus, if we fix  $x, y$  in (3.10) the left hand side of (3.10) is a bounded function of  $z$ . Hence by our assumption, we have  $l(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

For the proof of the main result we also need the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** [13] *Let  $\Psi : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function. Assume that  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$|f(x+y) - f(x)g(y)| \leq \Psi(y) \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , then either  $f$  is a bounded function or  $g$  is an exponential function.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded exponential function satisfying  $m(y) \neq m(\sigma y)$  for some  $y \in G$ . Then there exists  $y_0 \in G$  such that*

$$|m(y_0) - m(\sigma y_0)| \geq \sqrt{3}.$$

Furthermore,  $\sqrt{3}$  is the best constant in general.

*Proof.* Since  $m$  is a bounded exponential, there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|m(x)|^k = |m(kx)| \leq C$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in G$ , which implies  $|m(x)| = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Assume that  $m(\sigma y) \neq m(y)$ . Then we have  $m(\sigma y) = e^{i\theta_1}$ ,  $m(y) = e^{i\theta_2}$  for some  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ . We may assume that  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ . If  $\theta_2 - \theta_1 \in [\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}]$ , we have  $|m(y) - m(\sigma y)| = |e^{i\theta_2} - e^{i\theta_1}| \geq \sqrt{3}$ . If  $\theta_2 - \theta_1 \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{3}]$  or  $\theta_2 - \theta_1 \in [\frac{4\pi}{3}, 2\pi]$ , then there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $k\theta_2 - k\theta_1 \in [\frac{2\pi}{3} + 2n\pi, \frac{4\pi}{3} + 2n\pi]$  for some integer  $n$ . Thus we have  $|m(ky) - m(\sigma(ky))| = |m(ky) - m(k\sigma y)| = |e^{ik\theta_2} - e^{ik\theta_1}| \geq \sqrt{3}$ . Now define  $m : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $m(k) = e^{\frac{ik\pi}{3}}$  and let  $\sigma(x) = -x$ . Then we have  $|m(3k+1) - m(-3k-1)| = \sqrt{3}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $\sqrt{3}$  is the biggest one. This completes the proof.  $\square$

From now on we assume that

$$\Phi_1(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k-1} \psi(2^k x) < \infty \quad (3.14)$$

for all  $x \in G$ , or else

$$\Phi_2(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \psi(2^{-k-1} x) < \infty \quad (3.15)$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** [3] *Assume that  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq \psi(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then there exists a unique additive function  $a_1$  given by

$$a_1(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x)$$

such that

$$|f(x) - a_1(x)| \leq \Phi_1(x)$$

for all  $x \in G$  provided that (3.14) holds, and there exists a unique additive function  $a_2$  given by

$$a_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(2^{-n}x)$$

such that

$$|f(x) - a_2(x)| \leq \Phi_2(x)$$

for all  $x \in G$  provided that (3.15) holds.

Next we present the second main results of this paper.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$|g(x + \sigma y) - g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \psi(y) \quad (3.21)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , then  $(g, f)$  satisfies one of the following:

- (i)  $g$  and  $f$  are bounded functions,
- (ii)  $f$  is a bounded function and  $g = m$  is an unbounded exponential function such that  $m = m \circ \sigma$ ,
- (iii) there exist an unbounded exponential function  $m$  satisfying  $m = m \circ \sigma$  and a bounded function  $r$  such that

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda m(x) + r(x)}{\lambda^2 + 1}, \quad g(x) = \frac{m(x) - \lambda r(x)}{\lambda^2 + 1}$$

for all  $x \in G$ ,

(iv)  $g(x) = \frac{m(x) + m(\sigma x)}{2}$  and  $f(x) = c_1 \frac{m(x) - m(\sigma x)}{2}$ , where  $m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an exponential function,

(v) there is an additive function  $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and exponential functions  $E, \mu, \nu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $a \circ \sigma = a$ ,  $E \circ \sigma = E$ ,  $\mu \circ \sigma = \mu$ ,  $\nu \circ \sigma = \nu$ , and  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $c_1^2 = -1$ ,  $c_2^2 + c_3^2 = c_2$  with  $c_2 \neq 0, 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{cases} g(x) = c_2 E(x) \\ f(x) = c_3 E(x) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} g(x) = \mu(x)(1 - a(x)) \\ f(x) = c_1 \mu(x)a(x) \end{cases} \\ & \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} g(x) = (1 - c_2)\mu(x) + c_2\nu(x) \\ f(x) = c_3(\mu(x) - \nu(x)) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

(vi) there exist  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\lambda^2 = -1$ , a bounded exponential function  $m$  satisfying  $m \neq m \circ \sigma$  and  $d \geq 0$  such that

$$f(x) = \lambda(g(x) - m(x)), \quad |g(x)| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}(\psi(x) + d)$$

for all  $x \in G$ ,

(vii) there exist  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\lambda^2 = -1$  and a bounded exponential function  $m$  satisfying  $m = m \circ \sigma$  such that

$$f(x) = \lambda(g(x) - m(x))$$

for all  $x \in G$ , and  $g$  satisfies one of the following; there exists an additive function  $a_1 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$|g(x) - (a_1(x) + g(0))m(x)| \leq 2\Phi_1(x)$$

for all  $x \in G$ , or there exists an additive function  $a_2 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$|g(x) - (a_2(x) + g(0))m(x)| \leq 2\Phi_2(x)$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are the functions given in (3.14) and (3.15) and  $g(0) = 1$  if  $\psi(0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 3.1, we first consider the case when  $f, g$  satisfies (3.2). If  $f$  is bounded, then in view of the inequality (3.16),  $g(x + y) - g(x)g(\sigma y)$  is also bounded for each  $y$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $g$  is bounded or  $g \circ \sigma$  is an unbounded exponential function and so is  $g$ . If  $g$  is bounded, the case (i) follows. If  $g$  is an unbounded exponential function, say  $g = m$ , then from (3.16), using the triangle inequality we have for some  $d \geq 0$ ,

$$|m(x)(m(\sigma y) - m(y))| \leq \psi(y) + d \tag{3.28}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Thus,  $m(y) = m(\sigma y)$  for all  $y \in G$  is bounded, which gives the case (ii).

If  $f$  is unbounded, then in view of (3.16),  $g$  is also unbounded and we can write

$$f(x) = \lambda g(x) + r(x) \tag{3.29}$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $r$  is a bounded function. Putting (3.18) in (3.16), replacing  $y$  by  $\sigma y$  and using the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|g(x + y) - g(x)((\lambda^2 + 1)g(\sigma y) + \lambda r(\sigma y))| \\ &\leq |(\lambda g(\sigma y) + r(\sigma y))r(x)| + \psi(\sigma y) \leq \psi^*(y) \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$  and for some  $\psi^*$ . From (3.19), using Lemma 3.2 we have

$$(\lambda^2 + 1)g(y) + \lambda r(y) = m(y) \tag{3.31}$$

for all  $y \in G$  and for some exponential function  $m$ . If  $\lambda^2 \neq -1$ , we have

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda m(x) + r(x)}{\lambda^2 + 1}, \quad g(x) = \frac{m(x) - \lambda r(x)}{\lambda^2 + 1} \tag{3.32}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Putting (3.21) in (3.16), multiplying  $|\lambda^2 + 1|$  in the result and using the triangle inequality we have for some  $d \geq 0$ ,

$$|m(x)(m(\sigma y) - m(y))| \leq |\lambda^2 + 1|\psi(y) + d \tag{3.33}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Since  $m$  is an unbounded function, from (3.22) we have  $m = m \circ \sigma$ . If  $\lambda^2 = -1$ , then from (3.18) and (3.20) we have

$$f(x) = \lambda(g(x) - m(x)) \tag{3.34}$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $\lambda^2 = -1$  and  $m$  is a bounded exponential function and hence  $|m(x)| = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Putting (3.23) in (3.16), we have

$$|g(x + \sigma y) - g(x)m(y) - m(x)g(y) + m(x)m(y)| \leq \psi(y) \tag{3.35}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Since  $g$  is unbounded, we have  $m \neq 0$  and hence  $m(0) = 1$ . Putting  $x = y = 0$  in (3.24) we see that  $g(0) = 1$  if  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $\sigma y$  in (3.24) we have

$$|g(x + y) - g(x)m(\sigma y) - m(x)g(\sigma y) + m(x)m(\sigma y)| \leq \psi(\sigma y) \tag{3.36}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Putting  $x = 0$  in (3.25) and multiplying  $|m(x)|$  in the result we have

$$|m(x)g(y) - g(0)m(x)m(\sigma y) - m(x)g(\sigma y) + m(x)m(\sigma y)| \leq \psi(\sigma y) \quad (3.37)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

From (3.25) and (3.26), using the triangle inequality we have

$$|g(x+y) - g(x)m(\sigma y) - m(x)g(y) + g(0)m(x)m(\sigma y)| \leq 2\psi(\sigma y) \quad (3.38)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

First, we consider the case  $m(y_0) \neq m(\sigma y_0)$  for some  $y_0 \in G$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $y$  and  $y$  by  $x$  in (3.27) we have

$$|g(y+x) - m(\sigma x)g(y) - g(x)m(y) + g(0)m(\sigma x)m(y)| \leq 2\psi(\sigma x) \quad (3.39)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . From (3.27) and (3.28), using the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x)(m(\sigma y) - m(y)) - g(y)(m(\sigma x) - m(x)) - g(0)(m(x)m(\sigma y) - m(\sigma x)m(y))| \\ \leq 2(\psi(\sigma x) + \psi(\sigma y)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . By Lemma 3.3, there exists a  $y_0 \in G$  such that  $|m(\sigma y_0) - m(y_0)| \geq \sqrt{3}$ , putting  $y = y_0$  in (3.29), using the triangle inequality and dividing the result by  $|m(\sigma y_0) - m(y_0)|$  we have

$$|g(x)| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}(\psi(\sigma x) + d) \quad (3.41)$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $d = \psi(y_0) + |g(y_0)| + |g(0)|$ , which gives (vi). Now, we consider the case when  $m(x) = m(\sigma x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Dividing both the sides (3.27) by  $|m(x+y)| = |m(x)m(y)|$  we have

$$|F(x+y) - F(x) - F(y)| \leq 2\psi(y) \quad (3.42)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , where  $F(x) = \frac{g(x)}{m(x)} - g(0)$ . Using Lemma 3.4 and multiplying  $|m(x)|$  in the result we get (vii). If  $f, g$  satisfies (3.3), then by Theorem 2.2, all solutions of (3.3) are given by (iv) or (v). This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.6.* Let  $\sigma = I$  be the identity. Then as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5 we obtain the Hyers-Ulam stability of the hyperbolic cosine-sine functional equation

$$g(x+y) = g(x)g(y) + f(x)f(y).$$

**Acknowledgments.** This research was completed with the help of Professor Prasanna K. Sahoo. After finishing this work, Professor Prasanna K. Sahoo tragically passed away. Pray for the bliss of dead.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Aczél, *Lectures on functional equations in several variables*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1966.
2. J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional equations in several variables*, Cambridge University Press, New York-Sydney, 1989.
3. T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
4. J. A. Baker, *The stability of cosine functional equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980) 411–416.
5. D. G. Bourgin, *Multiplicative transformations*, Proc. Nat. Academy Sci. of U.S.A. **36** (1950), 564–570.
6. J. Chang and J. Chung, *Hyers-Ulam stability of trigonometric functional equations*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **23** (2008), no. 4, 567–575.
7. J. Chung and J. Chang, *On a generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of trigonometric functional equations*, J. Appl. Math. **2012**, Art. ID 610714, 14 pp.
8. J. Chung, C-K. Choi, and J. Kim, *Ulam-Hyers stability of trigonometric functional equation with involution*, J. Funct. Spaces **2015**, Art. ID 742648, 7 pp.
9. J. Chung and P. K. Sahoo, *Solution of several functional equations on nonunital semigroups using Wilson's functional equations with involution*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2014**, Art. ID 463918, 9 pp.
10. J. d'Alembert, *Addition au Mémoire sur la courbe que forme une corde tendue mise en vibration*, Hist. Acad. Berlin **6** (1750-1752), 355–360.
11. J. C. H. Gerretsen, *De karakteriseering van de goniometrische functies door middel van een funktionaalbetrekking*, Euclides (Groningen) **16** (1939), 92–99.
12. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhauser, 1998.
13. D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
14. S. -M. Jung, M. Th. Rassias, and C. Mortici, *On a functional equation of trigonometric type*, Appl. Math. Comput. **252** (2015), 294–303.
15. Pl. Kannappan, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer, 2009.
16. A. M. Perkins and P.K. Sahoo, *On two functional equations with involution on groups related sine and cosine functions*, Aequationes Math. **89** (2015), no. 5, 1251–1263.
17. Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 264–284.
18. P. K. Sahoo and Pl. Kannappan, *Introduction to functional equations*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011.
19. P. Sinopoulos, *Functional equations on semigroups*, Aequationes Math. **59** (2000), no. 3, 255–261.
20. L. Székelyhidi, *The stability of sine and cosine functional equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 109–115.
21. S. M. Ulam, *A collection of mathematical problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.
22. H. E. Vaughan, *Characterization of the sine and cosine*, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955), 707–713.
23. W. H. Wilson, *Two general functional equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1925), 330–334.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DANKOOK UNIVERSITY, YONGIN 16890, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*E-mail address:* [jchang@dankook.ac.kr](mailto:jchang@dankook.ac.kr)

<sup>2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND LIBERAL EDUCATION INSTITUTE, KUNSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GUNSAN 54150, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*E-mail address:* [ck38@kunsan.ac.kr](mailto:ck38@kunsan.ac.kr)

<sup>3</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, JEONBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, JEONJU 54896, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*E-mail address:* [jjkim@jbnu.ac.kr](mailto:jjkim@jbnu.ac.kr)

<sup>4</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY 40292, USA

*E-mail address:* [sahoo@louisville.edu](mailto:sahoo@louisville.edu)